Hanover and Elm Grove Resident Parking Scheme Consultation Report June 2010

Background

Consultation on Hanover and Elm Grove proposed residents parking scheme was agreed in the parking timetable at Environment Committee in January 2008.

In September 2009 a letter plus short questionnaire about parking issues was sent to all property addresses in the area. In addition workshops had also been held in the local area with residents and stakeholders to establish sufficient demand to proceed to informal consultation on the introduction of a residents parking scheme. Maps and plans for consultation on a proposed parking scheme for Hanover and Elm grove area were designed, based on evidence gathered in these 3 exercises, also on-street parking surveys conducted by Mott MacDonald (traffic engineering and transport planning consultancy) and in consultation with ward councillors. In addition, addresses in Area C and U, which adjoin the Hanover and Elm Grove area, received their own scheme review questionnaires (also to be reported on at September Environment Cabinet Member Meeting.

Methodology

Brighton and Hove City Council Land and Property Gazeteer was used to provide 8965 property addresses in the Hanover and Elm Grove area. An information leaflet, detailed maps, a questionnaire and a prepaid envelope for reply was sent to each address.

Plans could also be viewed at two exhibitions staffed by officers from Brighton & Hove City Council and Mott MacDonald consultants at:

Craven Vale Community Centre, Hadlow Close

- Wednesday 28 April 2010, 12:45 to 4:45 pm
- o Thursday 29 April 2010, 12pm to 4pm

Milton Road Church Hall

- Tuesday 4 May 2010, 3pm 7pm
- Wednesday 5 May 2010, 12pm to 4pm

There was also an unstaffed exhibition at Hove Town Hall, Norton Road from 10 May to 28 May, 2010, 9am to 5pm.

Forms were delivered by hand to Phoenix Brewery Halls on Southover Street which has 318 student rooms.

Addresses on both sides of Queens Park Road were included in the consultation. Residents on the East Side of Queens Park Road, between Sussex Street and Queens Park Terrace, have traditionally been allowed to purchase permits for Zone C. These addresses are technically outside the Zone C boundary but residents have been allowed to purchase permits for Zone C due to the fact that

there are double yellow line restrictions along the east side of this part of Queens Park Road.

There are 128 streets in the proposed scheme area.

3000 valid responses were received giving a response rate of 33%.

Comments received by email and letter were linked together with respondents' returned forms and duplicate voting identified. The council is aware that there were a number of parallel campaigns in the area and residents supplemented their returned questionnaire with emails to the parking inbox, consultants, officers and councillors.

Results

Q1 Do you support the proposed changes to on-street parking in your area?

Do you support the	Yes		N	Total	
proposed changes	Number	%	Number	%	
to on-street parking	744	25	2256	75	3000
in your area?					

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

	se	y Yes		Yes		Yes No		0
Street	Number properties mailed	Number forms returned	Response rate %	Num ber	%	Num ber	%	
Agnes Street	24	9	37.5	0	0	9	100	
Albion Hill	171	60	35	23	38	37	62	
Albion Street	86	6	7	4	67	2	33	
Arnold Street	78	43	55	4	9	39	91	
Ashton Rise	134	17	13	11	65	6	35	
Baxter Street	37	17	46	2	12	15	88	
Beaufort Terrace	10	5	50	1	20	4	80	
Belgrave Street	58	25	43	11	44	14	56	
Bembridge Street	29	13	45	0	0	13	100	
Bentham Road	99	53	53.5	10	19	43	81	
Bernard Place	1	1	100	0	0	1	100	
Bernard Road	110	47	43	5	11	42	89	
Billam Terrace	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Bonchurch Road	211	87	41	11	13	76	87	
Brading Road	142	66	46.5	5	7.5	61	92.5	
Bute Street	59	28	47	13	46.5	15	53.5	
Canning Street	66	30	44	22	73	8	27	
Carisbrooke Road	37	18	49	1	6	17	94	

	es	- D	se	Υe	es	N	0
Street	Number properties mailed	Number forms returned	Response rate %	Num ber	%	Num ber	%
Carlyle Street	81	54	65	4	7.5	50	92.5
Clayton Road	59	19	32	1	5	18	95
Cobden Road	85	52	61	8	15	44	85
Coleman Street	101	36	36	12	33	24	67
Coronation Street	21	10	48	2	20	8	80
Craven Road	137	33	24	5	15	28	85
Cromwell Street	19	13	68	0	0	13	100
De Montfort Road	78	32	41	9	28	23	72
Down Terrace	94	33	35	10	30	23	70
Elm Grove	395	118	30	21	18	97	82
Elmore Road	31	7	22.5	2	29	5	71
Ewart Street	132	44	33	15	34	29	65
Fairlight Place	11	1	9	0	0	1	100
Finsbury Road	103	23	22	8	35	15	65
Firle Road	63	10	16	2	20	8	80
Franklin Road	127	26	20	3	11.5	23	88.5
Franklin Street	45	12	27	5	42	7	58
Freshfield Road ¹	127	54	42.5	16	30	38	70
Freshfield Street	68	31	45.5	12	39	19	61
Glynde Road	44	11	25	3	27	8	73
Grant Street	21	13	62	4	31	9	69
Grove Hill	154	20	13	13	65	7	35
Grove Street	71	25	35	16	64	9	36
Hadlow Close	30	3	10	0	0	3	100
Hallett Road	62	13	21	4	31	9	69
Hampden Road	61	34	56	3	9	31	91
Hanover Crescent	78	4	5	1	25	3	75
Hanover Mews	17	4	23.5	2	50	2	50
Hanover Place	7	1	14	0	0	1	100
Hanover Street	127	32	25	15	47	17	53
Hanover Terrace	114	47	41	19	40	28	60
Hartington Place	20	12	60	0	0	12	100
Hartington Road	345	105	30.5	14	13	91	87
Hartington Terrace	38	25	66	3	12	22	88
Hastings Road	31	10	32	1	10	9	90
Hendon Street	66	22	33	6	27	16	73
Holland Street	57	31	54	8	26	23	74
Howard Road	35	10	28.5	5	50	5	50
Islingword Place	68	33	48.5	9	27	24	73
Islingword Road	267	76	28	18	24	58	76
Islingword Street	95	44	46	10	23	34	77
Ivory Place	9	0	0	0	0	0	0

_

¹ Part Road

	S		e e	Υe	es	N	0
Street	Number properties mailed		Response rate %	Num ber	%	Num ber	%
Jackson Street	6	2	33	1	50	1	50
Jersey Street	57	27	47	13	48	14	52
John Street	155	16	10	10	62.5	6	37.5
Lewes Street	1	1	100	0	0	1	100
Lincoln Cottages	26	7	27	5	71	2	29
Lincoln Street	89	51	57	15	29	36	71
Livingstone Street ²	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Luther Mews	7	3	43	1	33	2	67
Luther Street	47	28	60	2	7	26	93
Lynton Street	59	25	42	3	12	22	88
Malthouse Lane	6	0	0	0	0	0	0
May Road	79	23	29	2	9	21	91
Milton Road	26	9	35	2	22	7	78
Montreal Road	45	17	38	8	47	9	53
Monument View	16	8	50	0	0	8	100
Newark Place	25	5	20	3	60	2	40
Newhaven Street	48	7	14.5	1	14	6	86
Normanton Street	24	6	25	0	0	6	100
Pankhurst Avenue	94	38	40	6	16	32	84
Parham Close	24	5	21	2	40	3	60
Pevensey Road	36	10	28	0	0	10	100
Phoenix Place	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
Phoenix Rise	59	4	7	3	75	1	25
Picton Street	34	14	41	2	14	12	86
Plumpton Street	18	3	17	0	0	3	100
Quebec Street	52	27	52	13	48	14	52
Queens Park Rise	44	19	43	10	53	9	47
Queens Park Road ¹	544	104	19	30	29	74	71
Queensway	101	22	22	5	23	17	77
Richmond Gardens	5	1	20	0	0	1	100
Richmond Parade	14	2	14	2	100	0	0
Richmond Place	17	0	0	0	0	0	0
Richmond Street	73	27	37	14	52	13	48
Rochester Street	61	30	49	7	23	23	77
Ryde Road	51	29	55	1	4	28	96
Sandown Road	96	44	46	1	2	43	98
Scotland Street	56	35	62.5	9	26	26	745
Seville Street	46	17	37	2	12	15	88
Shanklin Road	236	67	28	12	18	55	82
Southampton Street	76	34	45	14	41	20	59
Southover Place	2	1	50	0	0	1	100

 $^{^{2}\,\}mathrm{No}$ postal addresses on this street $^{1}\,\mathrm{Part}$ Road

	. sə		se	Ye	es	N	0
Street	Number properties mailed	Number forms returned	Response rate %	Num ber	%	Num ber	%
Southover Street	149	48	32	9	19	39	81
Southwater Close	24	5	21	2	40	3	60
St Helens Road	31	17	55	3	18	14	82
St Leonards Road	18	5	28	0	0	5	100
St Martins Place	44	8	18	1	12.5	7	87.5
Stanley Street	52	31	60	13	42	18	58
Sussex Place	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sussex Street	53	16	30	13	81	3	19
Sussex Terrace	28	6	21	5	83	1	16
Tamplin Terrace	8	1	12.5	1	100	0	0
Tarner Road	39	6	15	4	67	2	33
The Causeway	49	16	33	0	0	16	100
Tilbury Way	32	14	44	9	64	5	36
Tilgate Close	22	9	41	0	0	9	100
Toronto Terrace	83	54	65	5	9	49	91
Totland Road	100	42	42	4	9.5	38	90.5
Upper Wellington Road	52	11	21	4	36	7	64
Walpole Terrace	15	3	20	3	100	0	0
Washington Street	93	37	40	12	32	25	68
Wellington Road	264	43	16	14	33	29	67
Wellington Street	1	1	100	0	0	1	100
Whichelo Place	81	40	49	10	25	30	75
Whippingham Road	177	57	32	3	5	54	95
Whippingham Street	42	12	28.5	1	8	11	92
Windmill Street	62	35	56	16	46	19	54
Windmill Terrace	8	7	87.5	1	14	6	86
Total	8965	3000	33	744	25	2256	75

Q2 Which of the following days of operation do you think should apply to the parking scheme?

	Mon to Sat		Mon t	Total	
Days of operation	Number	%	Number	%	
	770	59.5	523	40.5	1293

Q3 Respondents were asked whether they are a resident or business owner or manager. Respondents could tick more than one option.

	Number	%
	responses	responses
Resident	2866	95
Business owner or manager	149	5
Total responses	3016	100

Q4a How many cars in your household?

Number of	Number	Total	%
cars	responses	Number	
		cars	
0	460	0	16
1	1818	1816	63.5
2	521	1042	18
3	56	168	2
4 or more	10	40	0.5
Total	2865	3066	100

2865 respondents have at least 3066 vehicles.

Q4b Do you have access to off-street car parking?

Do you have access	Yes		N	Total	
to off-street car	Number	%	Number	%	
parking?	255	10	2380	90	2635

Q5a What type of business do you own or manage in the area?

Respondents could tick all that apply.

	Number	%
What type of business?	responses	responses
Retail outlet	15	8
Office-based	25	13
Other, includes things like childminding, building, landlords, dance class teacher.	153	79
Total responses	193	100

Q5b How many vehicles are directly associated with your business?

Number of vehicles	Number responses	Total Number. vehicles	
0	13	0	8
1	107	107	62.5
2	21	42	12
3	7	21	4
4 or more	23	92	13.5
Total	171	262	100

171 respondents had at least 262 vehicles associated with their business.

Q6 Any other comments?

An open text box enabled respondents to add comments. Although expressed in residents' own words analysis of the open text shows common themes emerged and have been grouped as follows:

Comments	Number responses	% responses
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	1224	22
Scheme Not Needed	959	18
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	663	12
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	600	11
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	354	7
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	265	5
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	169	3
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	148	3
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	128	2.5
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – eg At Elm Grove School	124	2
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	97	2
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	97	2
Want Different Hours Of Operation	89	2
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	62	1
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/ Amex Parking/ Hospital Parking/ Brighton College Parking	59	1
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/Results Already Decided	55	1
I Want Pavement Parking To Continue	39	1
Multiple Occupancy Homes Cause The Problem	36	1
Want A Light Touch Scheme	34	1
Scheme Could Stop Pavement Parking	23	0
Want More Motorcycle Bays	20	0

Want More Bicycle Parking	18	0
In Favour Of One Way Streets	17	0
Not Enough Room For Parking On Both Sides Of Road	14	0
Current Situation Is Dangerous	14	0
Scheme Will Encourage Speeding/Rat Runs	13	0
Want Other Types Of Restrictions e.g. Yellow Lines	11	0
Can't Read Map/Map Is Unclear	8	0
Want To Continue Parking On Both Sides Of The Road	8	0
Encourage Alternative Transport Modes	8	0
Unused Disabled Bays	8	0
Object To Council Using Consultants	2	0
Total Responses	5366	100

Using information provided at the workshops which took place at the end of 2009, together with local knowledge from residents, businesses and ward councillors the area has been subdivided into smaller geographical areas.

	Se		se	Ye	es	N	0
	Number properties mailed	Number forms returned	Response rate %	Num ber	%	Num ber	%
Hartington Road Area (north of Elm Grove)	2601	881	34	109	12	772	88
Hanover Area (south of Elm Grove & west of QPR including QPR)	3705	1346	36	368	27	978	73
Elm Grove	395	118	30	21	18	97	82
St Luke's & Queens Park Estate	673	231	34	64	28	167	72
Craven Vale	403	101	25	14	14	87	86
Baker's Bottom	267	113	42	51	45	62	55
Richmond Heights	921	210	23	117	56	93	44
Total	8965	3000	33	744	25	2256	75

Streets for each area are as follows:

Hartington Road Area (north of Elm Grove)

- Agnes Street
- Bembridge Street
- Bernard Place
- Bernard Road
- Bonchurch Road
- Brading Road
- Carisbrooke Road
- Coronation Street
- o De Montfort Road
- Fairlight Place
- Franklin Road
- o Franklin Street
- Hartington Place
- Hartington Road
- Hartington Terrace
- Hastings Road
- May Road
- Normanton Street
- Pevensey Road
- Picton Street
- Ryde Road
- Sandown Road
- o Seville Street
- Shanklin Road
- St Helens Road
- St Leonards Road
- o St Martins Place
- Totland Road
- Upper Wellington Road
- Wellington Road
- Wellington Street
- Whippingham Road
- o Whippingham Street

Hanover Area (south of Elm Grove & west of QPR including QPR)Albion Hill

- Arnold Street
- Baxter Street
- Beaufort Terrace
- Belgrave Street
- o Bentham Road
- o Billam Terrace
- Carlyle Street
- o Cobden Road
- o Coleman Street
- Cromwell Street
- Ewart Street

- o Finsbury Road
- Grant Street
- Grove Street
- Hampden Road
- Hanover Crescent
- Hanover Mews
- Hanover Place
- Hanover Street
- Hanover Terrace
- Holland street
- Howard Road

- o Islingword Place
- o Islingword Road
- Islingword Street
- Ivory Place
- Jackson Street
- Jersey Street
- Lewes Street
- Lincoln Cottages
- Lincoln Street
- Livingstone Street
- Luther Mews
- Luther Street
- Lynton Street
- o Malthouse Lane
- Milton Road
- o Montreal Road
- Newark Place

0

- Newhaven Street
- o Phoenix Place
- Phoenix Rise
- o Quebec Street
- Queens Park Road
- o Richmond Gardens
- Richmond Place
- Scotland Street
- Southampton Street
- o Southover Place
- Southover Street
- o Sussex Place
- Tamplin Terrace
- o Toronto Terrace
- Washington Street
- o Whichelo Place

Elm Grove

Elm Grove

St Luke's & Queens Park Estate

- Clayton Road
- Down Tterrace
- o Firle Road
- o Freshfield Street
- Freshfield Road

- o Glynde Road
- o Hallett Road
- Pankhurst Avenue
- Plumpton Road
- o Queens Park Rise

Queens Parks Rise & Freshfield Road (roads partly in existing Area U & C)

- o Craven Road
- Hadlow Close
- Monument View
- Parham Close

- Queensway
- o Southwater Close
- The Causeway
- Tilgate Close

Baker's Bottom

- Bute Street
- Canning Street
- Hendon Street
- Livingstone Street
- o Rochester Street
- Walpole Terrace

Richmond Heights

- Albion Street
- Ashton Rise
- Elmore Road
- o Grove Hill
- John Street
- o Richmond Parade
- Richmond Street

- o Stanley Street
- Sussex Street
- Sussex Terrace
- Tarner Road
- o Tilbury Way
- Windmill Street
- o Windmill Terrace

Respondents could make comments about the proposed scheme. Comments from each area were as follows:

Hartington Road Area	Number responses	%
Scheme Not Needed	447	26
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	444	26
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	214	12.5
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	112	6.5
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	83	5
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	58	3.5
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	48	3
CPZs Cause Displacement/ Worried About Displacement	47	3
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – eg at Elm Grove School	37	2
Would prefer better enforcement	33	2
Want different hours of operation	30	2
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	29	1.5
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	26	1.5
Multiple Occupancy Homes Cause The Problem	19	1
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/Results Already Decided	20	1
I Won't be Able To Park Close to my property	16	1
Want a Light Touch Scheme	15	1
The only problem is commuter parking/ Amex parking/ hospital	4	0
parking/ Brighton College parking		
Current Situation Is Dangerous	4	0
Want More Motorcycle Bays	4	0
Scheme Will Encourage Speeding/Rat Runs	3	0
Can't Read Map/Map Is Unclear	3	0
Want More Bicycle Parking	2	0
In Favour Of One Way Streets	2	0
Not Enough Room For Parking On Both Sides Of Road	2	0
Want Other Types Of Restrictions eg Yellow Lines	2	0
Encourage Alternative Transport Modes	2	0
Want To Continue Parking On Both Sides Of The Road	1	0
I Want Pavement Parking To Continue	1	0
Scheme Could Stop Pavement Parking/Want Pavement Parking	1	0
Stopped Disabled Pays	A	
Unused Disabled Bays	1	0
Object To Council Using Consultants	1	00.5
Total Responses	1711	98.5

Hanover Area	Number responses	%
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	537	21.5
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	363	14.5
Scheme Not Needed	341	13.5
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	290	12
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	184	7
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	125	5
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	91	3.5
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	78	3
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	62	2.5
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – eg	64	2.5
At Elm Grove School		
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	48	2
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	54	2
Want Different Hours Of Operation	42	2
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	32	1
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/Amex Parking/Hospital Parking/Brighton College Parking	29	1
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/Results Already Decided	27	1
I Want Pavement Parking To Continue	21	1
Want More Motorcycle Bays	15	0.5
Multiple Occupancy Homes Cause The Problem	13	0.5
Want More Bicycle Parking	13	0.5
In Favour Of One Way Streets	13	0.5
Want A Light Touch Scheme	12	0.5
Scheme Could Stop Pavement Parking/Want Pavement Parking Stopped	12	0.5
Scheme Will Encourage Speeding/Rat Runs	10	0.5
Not Enough Room For Parking On Both Sides Of Road	10	0.5
Encourage Alternative Transport Modes	5	0
Want Other Types Of Restrictions e.g. Yellow Lines	4	0
Want To Continue Parking On Both Sides Of The Road	4	0
Unused Disabled Bays	4	0
Current Situation Is Dangerous	3	0
Can't Read Map/Map Is Unclear	2	0
Object To Council Using Consultants	1	0
Total Responses	2509	99

Elm Grove	Number responses	%
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	49	23
Scheme Not Needed	46	21
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	26	12
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	22	10
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – e.g.	11	5
At Elm Grove School		
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	9	4
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	8	4
I Want Pavement Parking To Continue	8	4
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	7	3.5
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	5	2.5
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	4	2
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	4	2
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	3	1.5
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	3	1.5
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/Results Already Decided	3	1.5
Want Other Types Of Restrictions e.g. Yellow Lines	2	1
Want Different Hours Of Operation	1	0.5
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/ Amex Parking/ Hospital	1	0.5
Parking/ Brighton College Parking		
Multiple Occupancy Homes Cause The Problem	1	0.5
Want More Bicycle Parking	1	0.5
Current Situation Is Dangerous	1	0.5
Total Responses	215	100

St Luke's & Craven Vale	Number responses	%
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	99	27
Scheme Not Needed	83	22.5
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	64	17
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	26	7
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	22	6
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	18	5
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	16	4
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – eg	9	2.5
At Elm Grove School		
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	5	3
Want Different Hours Of Operation	4	1
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/Amex Parking/Hospital	4	1
Parking/Brighton College Parking		
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	4	1
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	3	1
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	2	0.5
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	1	0.5
Want A Light Touch Scheme	2	0.5

Disagree With Method Of Consultation/ Results Already Decided	1	0.5
Scheme Could Stop Pavement Parking/ Want Pavement Parking	1	0.5
Stopped		
Not Enough Room For Parking On Both Sides Of Road	1	0.5
Current Situation Is Dangerous	1	0.5
Want Other Types Of Restrictions eg Yellow Lines	1	0.5
Can't Read Map/ Map Is Unclear	1	0.5
Unused Disabled Bays	1	0.5
Total Responses	371	100

Queens Parks Rise & Freshfield Road	Number responses	%
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	89	27
Scheme Not Needed	66	20
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	55	16.5
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	27	8
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	19	6
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	17	6
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	16	6
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	11	3
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – eg	9	2
At Elm Grove School		
Want Different Hours Of Operation	6	2
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/Amex Parking/Hospital	3	1
Parking/Brighton College Parking		
Want A Light Touch Scheme	3	1
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	2	0.5
Unfair to charge and not guarantee a space	2	0.5
Current Situation Is Dangerous	2	0.5
I won't be able to park close to my property	2	0.5
Will not solve evening problems	1	-
Scheme will stop pavement parking/ want pavement parking	1	-
stopped		
Want other types of restrictions eg yellow lines	1	-
Unused disabled bays	1	-
Total Responses	333	100

Baker's Bottom	Number responses	%
Scheme Not Needed	40	
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	37	
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	25	
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	12	
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	8	
CPZs Cause Displacement/ Worried About Displacement	6	
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/ Amex Parking/Hospital	2	
Parking/ Brighton College Parking		
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	2	
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	2	
This will cause a problem for people working in the area	2	
Will not solve evening problems	2	
Can't Read Map/Map Is Unclear	1	
Want To Continue Parking On Both Sides Of The Road	1	
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/ Results Already Decided	1	
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	1	
Total Responses		100

Richmond Heights	Number responses	%
General Comments Supporting Proposed Changes	51	19
Don't Want To Pay/ Money Making Scheme	43	16
Scheme Will Reduce Available Parking Spaces	36	13
General Comments Not Supporting Proposed Changes	34	12.5
Scheme Not Needed	15	5.5
Don't Want To Pay For Visitor Parking	15	5.5
The Only Problem Is Commuter parking/Amex Parking/Hospital Parking/Brighton College Parking	14	5
Scheme Could Stop Pavement Parking/Want Pavement Parking Stopped	9	3.5
Unfair On Households With More Than One Car	8	3
CPZs Cause Displacement/Worried About Displacement	6	2
Unfair To Charge And Not Guarantee A Space	6	2
Will Not Solve Evening Parking Problem	5	2
Want Different Hours Of Operation	5	2
Want A Light Touch Scheme	4	1.5
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	3	1
I Won't be Able To Park Close To My Property	3	1
Disagree With Method Of Consultation/Results Already Decided	3	1
I Want Pavement Parking To Continue	3	1
Would Prefer Better Enforcement	2	1
In Favour Of One Way Streets	2	0.5
Current Situation Is Dangerous	2	0.5
Want To Continue Parking On Both Sides Of The Road	2	0.5
This Will Cause A Problem For People Working In The Area – e.g. At	1	0.5

Elm Grove School		
Multiple Occupancy Homes Cause The Problem	1	0.5
Want More Bicycle Parking	1	0.5
Not Enough Room For Parking On Both Sides Of Road	1	0.5
Can't Read Map/Map Is Unclear	1	0.5
Encourage Alternative Transport Modes	1	0.5
Total Responses	274	100

Demographic Information

Gender

Gender	Number	%
Male	1344	49.5
Female	1369	50.5
Total	2713	100

Age

Age	Number	%
U18	1	-
18-24	91	3
25-34	479	18
35-44	864	32
45-54	572	21
55-64	363	13.5
65-74	185	7
75+	142	5
Total	2697	100 ³

Disability

Disability	Number	%
Yes	369	15
No	2139	85
Total	2508	100

³ Does not add up to 100 due to rounding

Ethnicity

Ethnicity	Number	%
White British	2411	91
White Irish	48	2
Other White Background	112	4
Indian	12	0.5
Pakistani	1	-
Bangladeshi	2	-
Other Asian background	7	-
White & Black Caribbean	4	-
White & Black African	5	-
White & Asian	11	0.5
Other mixed background	6	-
Caribbean	7	-
African	4	-
Other black background	1	-
Chinese	10	0.5
Other ethnic background	7	-
Don't know	2	-
Total	2650	100

The following forms were not included in the results:

- o 3 forms which were received from outside the scheme area
- o 26 forms where there was no address given
- o 46 forms where no clear choice was made for Q1 Do you support the proposed changes to on-street parking in your area?
- Duplicated forms where more than one form was submitted from a household.